

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
07/815,456 12/31/91	07/815,456 12/31/91 BERIONT		W 90-3-8/6	
,		EXAMINER		
	26M1/0812	WEBSTER,	В	
VICTOR F. LOHMANN, II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GTE SERVICE CORP.	· -		4	
40 SYLVAN RD.	·	2614	•	
WALTHAM, MA 02254	• •	2614		
		DATE MAILED:	08/12/93	
This is a communication from the examiner in COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEM	harge of your application.			
COMMISSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADER	·	•		
	•			
This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this Failure to respond within the period for response		days from		
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		•	
r et i				
1. Notice of References Cited by Exam			nt Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTC Information on How to Effect Drawing 		ice of Informal Patent A	pplication, PTO-152.	
or in an analysis of the control of	g changes, 170-1474. U			
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION			•	
1. \(\sqrt{1}\) Claims \(1-7\)	M	•	are pending in the application.	
			re pending in the application.	
Of the above, claims	•	are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
2. Claims		t	nave been cancelled.	
3. Claims			are allowed.	
4. A Claims 1 - 7			are rejected.	
			are objected to.	
	,a		or election requirement.	
7. This application has been filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examina	ation nurnoses	
		assoptasis for examine	won purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in respon	se to this Office action.			
 The corrected or substitute drawings hat are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (s 	ve been received on see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pater		R. 1.84 these drawings 9-948).	
10. The proposed additional or substitute si examiner; disapproved by the exam	heet(s) of drawings, filed onliner (see explanation).	has (have) been 🛭	approved by the	
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _	, has been 🔲 appro	ved;	se explanation).	
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on				
	condition for allowance except for formal matter arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is closed in	
14. Other				

Serial No. 815456

Art Unit 2614

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Whittington. Consider claims 1-7, Whittington teaches a data link for cellular radio systems which replaces eight identical consecutive bits with a like number of synchronization bits (see abstract, summary). He also teaches replacing these bits in the transmitter, transmitting the sync bits and recovering the original bits at the receiver (see abstract, figs. 2 & 4). Whittington, also recites means by which

Serial No. 815456

Art Unit 2614

the input signal is monitored to detect specific sequences and replace them with sync bits, if the sequence meets the required criterion. However, Whittington does teach eight consecutive bits whereas the claimed invention recites only two. This fact does not raise the scope of the claim invention beyond the teachings of Whittington. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to insert a sync bit after two consecutive identical bits given the teachings of Whittington because the actual number of bits (2 or 8) is just an design option and does not change the scope of either invention. In claim 4, the fact that the substitution occurs in real time would have been obvious lacking criticality or showing by Applicant.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan S. Webster whose telephone number is (703) 308-6607.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2600

B.WEBSTER/jp July 29, 1993

8. Web. A